

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ONITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Tradestark, Office Addison CONCASSIONNER FOR PATENTS 7-0 Do. 149 Advisors Vingen 22013-1409

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/903,739	07/11/2001	Phillip H. Paul	SD 8245 2 DIV	9843
7590 02/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Timothy Evans			LUDLOW, JAN M	
MS 9031				
Sandia National Laboratories			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7011 East Avenue			1743	
Livermore, CA 94550				
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/903 739 PAUL ET AL Advisory Action Framiner Art Unit Jan M. Ludlow 1743

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

a) 🔯	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) 🗌	The period for repty expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no
	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for our coses of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above. If checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if finely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in

3/(JFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 GFR 1.191(d)), to avoid distrissal of the appeal.	
2. The	proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🗌	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) [they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) 🗆	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	th
(d) 🗆	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE:	

- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
- canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet
- 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
- 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: none.

Claim(s) objected to: none.

Claim(s) rejected: 13 and 15.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16. The drawing correction filed on Is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

fantle Le 10. Other: ____

Primary Examiner Art Unit, 1743

Jan M. Ludlow

Confirmation of 5 does NOT piece the application in confidor for of lovance because. Applicant argue that the sample injector is defined as all tables to elements combined in assess arrangement, wherein each element comprise a container having an intel and outlier end and filled with a dielectric material to firm a prorus bed within the container. When present a container having an intel and exemply sample injector, the claims contain no such imitations in the solution of the sample injector. With respect to propose any applicant has not addressed the exeminer's position that the prior art electroxinetic pump inherently presources the fluid to pump the fluid and is therefore inferency a hypicalic pump.